## **Consultation Summary**

In the consultation process for the development of the housing strategy, a number of methods were used to try and get as many opinions as possible on housing issues in Central Bedfordshire. These included a questionnaire and focus groups with Service Teams and Managers, Partner Organisations and service users. Consultation and involvement was undertaken with many colleagues within the Planning, Finance, Legal, Social Services, Health, Community Safety and Education functions within Central Bedfordshire.

On the 15<sup>th</sup> January 2010 Central Bedfordshire Council held a consultation on the proposed vision and strategic objectives of the new Central Bedfordshire Housing Strategy. The objective of the consultation was to get as many views as we could on housing in Central Bedfordshire. This was so that when developing and writing the strategies, we could be sure that the correct issues were addressed. The results of the consultation were taken into account when writing the strategies, and the objectives.

Stakeholders and partners were split into five groups with each one discussing one of following topics in a workshop session.

#### Housing Strategy Consultation Event 15 January 2010 Workshop Feedback and Further Consultation

	Issue	Issues to be covered
Workshop 1	Affordability, and Affordable	<ul> <li>What does the SHMA tell us</li> <li>What is the role of health partners in delivery especially in relation to extra care</li> </ul>
	Housing	The role of shared ownership still meeting need?
		Issues affecting the availability of rural land for affordable housing
Workshop 2 Housing's part in transforming		<ul> <li>Where do housing outcomes overlap with outcomes on health and social care</li> <li>Financial Inclusion</li> </ul>
	people's lives	<ul> <li>Are there possibilities for joint commissioning / joint delivery</li> <li>What are the priorities between older people, families, those on low income, Young people, People Learning disabilities or those with Mental Health issues and other vulnerable groups.</li> </ul>

		Delivering extra care housing
		What are challenges in provision of housing for older people
Workshop 3	Working	What are we doing well
	together to get	Where are the dangers in partnership working
	the best	What are the barriers to fully engaging our partners
	partnerships	What can our partners deliver and how can we help them do this.
Workshop 4	Housing quality through best	<ul> <li>Is the private sector being fully utilised and what are the barriers for people accessing the private sector</li> </ul>
	use of stock	How overcrowding can be addressed through best use of existing stock
		Reducing Empty Homes
		Ensuring homes meet the decent homes standard
		Regeneration through growth
Workshop 5	Liveability, Place shaping and delivering	<ul> <li>What sort of neighbourhoods communities thrive in terms of community safety, regeneration and sustainability</li> <li>Homes to last a lifetime</li> </ul>
	sustainable development	<ul> <li>Formes to fast a metime</li> <li>Carbon neutrality</li> </ul>

Some clear patterns emerged from the consultation process, particularly from the workshops. People were asked what they felt were the most important issues surrounding the topics used within the workshops. The issues that people felt were important showed very clear patterns and priorities. These are (in no particular order) – high house prices, high rents, lack of affordable housing, the need for more rural housing, local lettings, planning contributions and long waiting lists for affordable housing.

Key issues and responses emerging from each workshop are shown in the tables below.

#### Workshop 1: Affordability and Affordable Housing

What we do well	What can we do to improve	What problems must we overcome to reach our goals	What resources do we have to meet the our goals
Rural Exception Schemes	A planning approach that enables affordable housing	Opposition to urban growth and extension	Making best use of stock and land held by Central Bedfordshire Council

A structure in place to	Flexibility in policies e.g. allocations,	Lack of joined up strategic thought	Review planning policy on financial
assist Rural delivery	tenure mix	and conflicting view points within	contributions
		departments	Continuations
Responding to market	Best use of stock	departments	Make use of other assets such as
conditions	Desi use of slock	Lack of transport infrastructure	land by incentivising agencies to
conditions	New solutions to provide affordable	including public transport and local	bring land forward
Broad Range of	housing in smaller rural communities	services	
intermediate products	e.g. true local need by incentives to		Develop a pipeline for housing
	release land	Lack of land supply and its	delivery
Housing growth		accessibility	delivery
Supply of affordable	Raising awareness and publishing	accessionity	Demonstrate to the HCA what we
housing	affordable housing across	Identifying hidden housing needs	can deliver
nousing	departments		
Growth for rural areas		Protecting villages and their character	Local letting policies
and infrastructure	A strategy that identifies ways to meet		Eood letting policies
	need	Local opposition to developments	A Policy on prioritising grants
			i i oney en prioritioning grante
	Get the right balance of housing	Financial constraints through limits on	A policy framework that enables the
		planning contributions	most recent evidence base to be
	Link provision to the evidence base		used
	P	Over emphasis on small urban areas	
	Joint thinking on planning for		Learning lessons from most recent
	household growth	Financial constraints in risk taking in	experience i.e. housing downturn
	5	current market	
	Have joint agendas e.g. social care		Transparent process' with agreed
	health, private	Limited funding from Homes and	outcomes
		Communities Agency (HCA)	
	Strategic planning in terms of location	<b>0 3 ( 7</b>	Review policy on rural schemes in
	and individual circumstances	Political uncertainty	terms of location (exceptions sites)
		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Different models to take into account	Ratio of tenure split	Harmonisation of strategies so all
	aspirations		partners agree outcomes

Younger person provision Access to services and facilities Use the private sector to meet need	Lack of social rented homes	Partnership approach to avoid silo working Joint work and innovation on allocations
e.g. empty homes, private rent		Supporting HAs to develop mixed tenures Take into account future changes
		and market conditions Review stock profile to maximise supply of affordable housing

## Workshop 2: Housing's Part in Transforming People's Lives

What we do well	What can we do to improve	What problems must we overcome to reach our goals	What resources and tools do we have to meet our goals
Providing monetary advice to clients	Outreach Services for leisure provision	Lack of joined up strategic thought and conflicting view points within	Telecare
		departments	Multi-Disciplinary Working
Plain English Tenancy	Provide more choices for Older		
Agreements	People	Lack or real ambition and vision to make changes	Effective One-stop shop
Domestic Violence provision and advice	Create employment opportunities close to where you live	Resistance to change	Effective Outreach
Partnership Working	Provide accommodation for Young People in Central Beds	Lack of forward planning	Remove resistance to importing best practice
Encouraging active		Limited financial resources	Community Focus

participation for Older people	Improve move-on arrangements	Tackling inequalities agenda
Choice Based Lettings	Multi-agency Outreach programs	Using Existing assets
(CBL) Delivering Outcomes for	Better information and Advice and Advocacy Support	Forward thinking and innovative ideas
Vulnerable People	Increased involvement of Service users	Value and use the Voluntary sector
Delivering a Youth Work Agenda	Expanded re-ablement/move on services	Support services and resources for vulnerable young people
	Build more life-time homes	
	Integration of Services and joint working	

## Workshop 3: Working together to get the Best Partnerships

What we do well	What can we do to improve	What problems must we overcome to reach our goals	What resources do we have to meet our goals
Choice Based lettings (CBL)	Have clear Strategic and Operational structures	Lack of trust between partners	Complete a piece of work to model good partnerships and show
Domestic Abuse work	Have good communication across the	Keeping Control of projects	examples of good practice
Telecare service	board	Continuity of partnerships	Move people and resources to areas where they are best suited
	Work with honesty and trust	Policy restrictions	
Neighbourhood			Identify others that can deliver the
Improvement Plans	Evaluate and audit work and targets	Using staff and other resources effectively	strategy and targets

Anti Social Behaviour	Working together instead parallel to		Set matching priorities with partners
work	one another	Lack of focus and capacity in terms of	eet matering prontice with partnere
		time	Give clear timescales for things to
Credit Union	Relinguishing control to the best		work
	resources to meet targets	Limited financial resources	
Joint funding			Pool resources and external
	Working without jargon and using	Lack of clarity of targets	funding
Working with customers	plain English		
		Not having clear and identified contact	
	Create a good knowledge base	points	organizations and partners to
			create an understanding of how
	Have common systems/ using	Political environment and bureaucracy	partners work
	systems well		
		Too much change without giving good	Use private sector and voluntary
	Influence progress and outcomes	initiatives time to deliver	Organisations
	Catting the right organizations and	Short torm thinking	
	Getting the right organisations and individuals leading projects	Short term thinking	
		Lack of information distributed and	
	Services users at decision making	communication breakdowns	
	bodies		
	Have strong leadership		
	Information sharing flexibility		
	Compromise to reach a common goal		
	Establish common goals and targets		

What we do well	What can we do to improve	What problems must we overcome	What resources do we have to
		to reach our goals	meet our goals
Good at statutory interventions giving	Funding help for all owner occupiers.	Limited capital resources	Dealing with fuel poverty in the worse homes in selected wards by
grants and inspections.	A free service in getting work done to owner-occupier's homes.	Limited revenue budgets for staff and for empty homes scheme.	a combination of grants, loans and advice to meet NI 187.
We are good at			
responding to very poor house conditions for tenants where they are	A major promotion of equity release products for owners with interest free loans.	Insufficient evidence base - much better information down to parishes' level and realistic target actions are	Develop a comprehensive plan to deal with empty homes including advice, information, enforcement,
drawn to CBC attention.	Eliminate fuel poverty by providing	needed.	grants and loans to landlords to bring properties back into use and
Focus is on RSL stock and our own	totally free loft and cavity wall insulation to all homes where there is	Lack of illness /morbidity statistics to see if health service can support	resources to deliver a small
	none.	housing inputs for sick or vulnerable.	Compulsory Purchase Order (CPO) programme.
	Web based access by locality to all housing related services, traders, product offers advice.	Council policies lack in forcing owners to release empty properties to the council and leave them empty.	Develop a comprehensive information base on stock and its better use including detailed energy efficiency, insulation and
	Council to use its buying power to negotiate discounts on fuel prices for poor households.	Lack of political and officer will to use CPO powers through lack of knowledge.	heating information, householder income, health and social care needs by ward.
	Fully funded empty homes programme using a combination of incentives for owners, advice and	Lack of political will to provide loans to landlords in return for nomination rights.	
	information and grants and loans should include use of CPO powers and purchase of properties by		
	negotiation for onward sale to RSLs.		

Fully funded rent deposit scheme. Landlord's accreditation scheme for all landlords.	
Better communication with parishes' access to newsletters and parish notice boards to send out key messages.	
Provide a user friendly service for older people to help with loft insulation and heating were the private sector will not help.	

## Workshop 5: Liveability, Place shaping and delivering sustainable development

What we do well	What can we do to improve	What problems must we overcome to reach our goals	What resources do we have to meet our goals
Urban design of recent developments e.g.	Promote civic pride and community sprit.	Lack of accessibility to services.	Learning lessons from existing communities.
Stotfold		Resistance to change and modern	
S106 Strategy in place	Reduce isolation of rural communities.	development.	Infrastructure in place first: Integrated Planning.
	Secure accommodation for those in	Not enough involvement by large	
Partnership working	housing need.	stakeholders.	Local Community Groups input with community and developer
Existing developments working well	Develop more affordable housing.		dialogue.
J	Have network of local services.		Making use of local intelligence.

interactio	ked communities with on between different groups Inter- generational.	
Accessit	ility for all too local services.	
	ble development e.g. Life nes, Home working units.	

#### Analysis of feedback from the Consultation

One of the key messages coming out of the consultation was that there is a large need for new affordable housing, especially rural housing and lifetime homes. The responses point to a high (and increasing) demand for affordable housing, a growing population particularly in relation to the older age groups. Furthermore, there were mixed opinions on the need for additional gypsy and traveller pitches.

Other issues arising from the consultation were the following:

- Better access to quality homes
- Ensuring sustainable development
- Increase supply and access to affordable housing
- Tackling empty homes
- Adequate housing and appropriate healthcare is available for our Black and Minority Ethnic, Gypsy and Traveller communities
- Prevent and tackle homelessness
- Ensure older people and vulnerable groups have access to a range of housing and housing related services

General points on the Housing Strategy were that it was ambitious; needed specific and measurable targets and actions; should contain less jargon; should demonstrate links to other strategies and be monitored and reported regularly. These points have now been addressed in the draft strategy.

Taking into account all of the above, we have developed a vision and 4 key priorities, which encompass what we hope to achieve as a direct outcome of the new Housing Strategy.

Key Strategic Priorities
1. Economic development and sustainable communities
2. Using council controlled land assets to aid the delivery of growth and housing
3. Meeting the accommodation and support needs of Elderly and Vulnerable People
4. Providing housing options for the whole community

The Housing Strategy was drafted with these 4 Key Strategic Priorities as key themes and action plans within each theme. An Equalities Impact Assessment was drafted and went through the Equalities Forum on the 17th June 2010 and then again on the 30th September 2010.

#### **Second Consultation Process**

The second consultation on the draft Housing Strategy started on the 4th January 2011 and went on for 12 weeks until the 31st March 2011. A formal consultation was open to the entire public and this included a web based questionnaire, attending a number of meetings, including a Citizen's Panel and Tenants Panel and various other meetings. The draft Housing Strategy was also available in all Libraries across CBC. Two open days were also held one at Priory House, Shefford and one At Watling House, Dunstable were the public were invited to come in and voice their opinions and ask questions. There were 131 consultation response. Along with the Public Consultation 7 schools in total were consulted. This included 4 Middle Schools and 3 Upper Schools in the CBC area. There were 189 responses from Upper school pupils.

The webs based questionnaire consisted of questions regarding the Key Strategic Priorities and if respondents were in agreement with the Priorities.

The results below show that the majority of respondents were in favour of the Key Strategic Priorities.

#### **Priority 1: Economic development and sustainable communities**

Encourage economic growth through the provision of new homes in sustainable locations with access to local employment.

	Q2.How far do you agree or disagree with priority 1				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
	Strongly agree	40	31	31	
	Agree	61	47	48	
	Neither agree or disagree	18	14	14	
	Disagree	6	5	5	
	Strongly disagree	3	2	2	
	Total	128	98	100	
	Missing	3	2		
Т	otal	131	100		
	% Strongly agree + Agree		79	4	

#### Priority 2: Using council land to aid the delivery of growth and housing

Ensure land and resources are maximised to deliver housing in rural and urban areas.

	Q3.How far do you agree or disagree with priority 2				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
	Strongly agree	33	25	26	
	Agree	59	45	46	
	Neither agree or disagree	21	16	16	
	Disagree	8	6	6	
	Strongly disagree	7	5	5	
	Total	128	98	100	
	Missing	3	2		
Т	otal	131	100		
% Strongly agree + Agree 72					

# Priority 3: Meeting the accommodation and support needs of Older Persons and Vulnerable People

Q4.How far do you agree or disagree with priority 3				
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
Strongly agree	61	47	47	
Agree	60	46	46	
Neither agree or disagree	5	4	4	
Disagree	2	2	2	
Strongly disagree	2	2	2	
Total	130	99	100	
Missing	1	1		
Total	131	100		
% Strongly agree + Agree		93	1	

Provide housing options for the vulnerable and those most in need.

#### **Priority 4: Providing Housing options for the whole community**

Ensure a variety of housing options are available for all to meet demand and tackle homelessness.

	Q5.How far do you agree or disagree with priority 4				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
	Strongly agree	44	34	34	
	Agree	69	53	53	
	Neither agree or disagree	10	8	8	
	Disagree	4	3	3	
	Strongly disagree	2	2	2	
	Total	129	98	100	
	Missing	2	2		
Т	otal	131	100		
	% Strongly agree + Agree		88		